

Is differential mobility chemically driven? Evidence and simulations for chemical effects as a primary separation factor in DMS

Introduction

The ion separation in Differential Mobility Spectrometry (DMS) relies on the dependence of the ion mobility (K) on the electric field strength [1,2]. There are several mechanisms, which lead to differential mobilities by physical as well as chemical interactions between analyte ions and gas matrix components [2, 3, 4].

Among those, the formation of ion-molecule clusters with background gas constituents are the primary reason for the occurrence of differential mobility for chemically active analyte molecules [5]. Energy dependent collisions lead to significant changes in the cluster size and the resulting experimentally observed ion mobility.

We present experimental evidence and simulation results, which show the complex chemical dynamics in a DMS cell and the significance of chemical effects for differential DMS / MS Experiments:

DMS / MS System: Sciex 5500 QTRAP with Ion Source: Sciex Turbo V ESI Ion Source

Data Processing: Sciex Peak View 1.2.2.0

Proton Bound Water Cluster System Dynamics



above: Temperature dependent equilibrium state of the water cluster system at a water mixing ratio of 3%. The clusters become smaller and more mobile with increasing temperature.

Chemical oscillation due to separation field: Equilibrium state and relaxation



The acceleration in the oscillating separation field leads to an oscillating effective ion temperature in a DMS cell. This temperature oscillation induces chemical oscillation in the reacting cluster system, which leads to oscillating mean cluster sizes and thus cluster mobilities.

Frequency dependence:





equation:

The displacement of the chemical system from its equilibrium state at a given temperature depends on the oscillation frequency and water concentration in the background gas. If the water concentration is very high (a) the chemical system follows the temperature without noticeable delay, i.e. exhibits the maximum displacement amplitude and is in phase with T.



<u>Walter Wißdorf¹</u>; Bradley Schneider²; Tom Covey²; James Hager²; Thorsten Benter¹

Methods

- SelexION DMS

Chemical Kinetics Simulation:

- non equilibrium state DMS cell simulations: Customized version of "RS" Monte Carlo kinetics code [6]
- equilibrium state simulations: Cantera 2.1.1 [7]

Ab initio calculations: • Gaussian 03

Simulation approach

Water cluster reaction system:

 $[H + (H_2O)_n]^+ + H_2O \implies [H + (H_2O)_{n+1}]^+$

Ion temperature and temperature dependent reaction rates:

The effective ion temperature T_{eff} in an electric field **E** is given by: $M(KE)^2$ $k_B = \text{Boltzmann constant}$ $T_{\text{eff}} = T + \frac{TT(T,L)}{T}$ K = ion mobility

= collision gas mass

The cluster building (forward) reaction is considered as collision controlled [6], thus its rate k_f is calculated from the collision frequency:

16RT $\sigma =$ collision cross section

 $\mu =$ reduced particle mass

The reverse reaction rate is calculated from the equilibrium constant K, which is given by the Gibbs enthalpy G⁰ of the clustering reaction: $G^0 = -RT\ln(K)$

The temperature dependence of the equilibrium constant is estimated using the van't Hoff $\ln\left(\frac{K_2}{K_1}\right) = \frac{-\Delta H^0}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T_2} - \frac{1}{T_1}\right)$ $\Delta H^0 = \text{Reaction Enthalpy}$

left: Comparison of the temporal evolution of the water cluster system in a DMS separation field with the dependence of the equilibrium state on the ion temperature.

simulated cluster size

equilibrium cluster size

With low water concentration (b), significant phase and amplitude changes become observable. With low water concentration and high separation field frequency (c), relaxation of the chemical system in the low field phase is significantly hindered, which results in a different temporal cluster size function.

The limited reaction rates of the cluster formation and decomposition reactions lead to a significant frequency dependence of the chemically induced differential mobility function α^* defined as:

$$^{*}(E) = \frac{K_{c}(E)}{K_{c}(0)} - 1$$

with K_c as the chemically induced cluster ion mobility.

left: Frequency dependence of α^* for the proton bound water cluster system. At very high frequencies (> 1 GHz) of the separation field (SV) the chemically induced differential mobility vanishes entirely, even for high water mixing ratios, ¹⁰⁰ due to the limited rate of the cluster formation reaction. The system is then no longer able to adjust accordingly from the high field phase.







¹: Physical & Theoretical Chemistry Wuppertal, Germany (Institute for Pure and Applied Mass Spectrometry) ²: SCIEX

Concord, Ontario, Canada

Conclusions

- The dynamics of temperature dependent clustering is found to be the primary mechanism leading to differential ion mobility of the water cluster system
- Numerical simulation of the water cluster dynamics and the ion transport in a DMS cell is in good agreement with experimental results
- The mobilities of the individual cluster species are a significant source of uncertainty and future development should focus on this aspect of the simulation
- Simulation of the proton bound acetone / water clusters predicts a similar but less pronounced differential mobility
- At common analytical conditions (e.g. ESI conditions) the investigated cluster systems are essentially in local chemical equilibrium over the whole SV oscillation
- The simulated cluster dynamics shows a significant dependence on the SV frequency. At very high frequencies (GHz region) the chemically induced differential mobility vanishes due to the rate limitation of ion molecule reactions
- By modulating the compensation voltage, time resolved DMS experiments are possible, which allows the measurement of the time resolved relative ion mobility
- Time resolved measurements and frequency variation would allow further validation of the simulations and insights into the fast cluster chemistry of chemically active analytes

Literature

- 1. Krvlov, E. V., Nazarov, E.G., Miller, R. a.: Differential mobility spectrometer: Model of operation. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 266, 76–85 (2007).
- 2. Shvartsburg, A.A.: Differential Ion Mobility Spectrometry: Nonlinear Ion Transport and Fundamentals of FAIMS. CRC Press, Boca Raton (2008).
- 3. Mason, E.A., McDaniel, E.W.: Transport Properties of Ions in Gases. Wiley-Interscience, New York (1988)
- 4. Schneider, B.B., Covey, T.R., Coy, S.L., Krylov, E. V., Nazarov, E.G.: Chemical effects in the separation process of a differential mobility/Mass spectrometer system. Anal. Chem. 82, 1867–1880 (2010).
- 5. Krylov, E.V., Nazarov, E.G.: Electric field dependence of the ion mobility. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 285, 149–156 (2009).
- 5. Wissdorf, W., Seifert, L., Derpmann, V., Klee, S., Vautz, W., Benter, T.: Monte Carlo Simulation of Ion Trajectories of Reacting Chemical Systems: Mobility of Small Water Clusters in Ion Mobility Spectrometry, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 24, 632-641 (2013)
- . <u>http://www.cantera.or</u>g
- 8. Wißdorf, W., Brachthäuser, Y., Polaczek, C., Cochems, P., Zimmermann, S., Benter, T.: Field driven chemical effects in API: Numerical modeling of the ion temperature at atmospheric and intermediate pressure with SIMION-RS. In: Proceedings of the 61th ASMS Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics., Minneapolis, MN, USA (2013).

Acknowledgement

Financial support by **iGenTraX UG (Haan, Germany)** and by and by SCIEX (Concord, Canada) is gratefully acknowledged.