

# **Evaluation of Space Charge Effects in** Scanning- vs. Fourier Transform (FT)-Quadrupole Ion Traps (QITs)

## <u>Walter Wißdorf<sup>1</sup></u>; Yessica Brachthäuser<sup>1</sup>; Andreas Brekenfeld<sup>2</sup>; Hendrik Kersten<sup>1</sup>; Thorsten Benter<sup>1</sup>

### Introduction The significance of coulombic interactions between charged particles ("space charge") is one of the • Custom simulation framework [7] primary challenges for the design of ion traps and similar devices [1]. Quadrupole Ion Traps (QITs), • Background gas collisions are modeled with hard sphere scattering while still being commonly used in commercial mass spectrometers, are particularly sensitive to suc space charge effects [2]. The established operation mode of QITs is to eject ions sequentially from the trap in dependence of $\Phi_{\text{total}} = \Phi_0 + \Phi_0 \left( A_1 \Phi_1 + A_2 \Phi_2 + A_3 \Phi_3 + A_4 \Phi_4 + \dots \right)$ their mass [1]. The ejected ions are detected with an ion detector outside of the ion trap volume. $\Phi_0 = \frac{U + V \cos\left(\Omega t\right)}{1 + V \cos\left(\Omega t\right)}$ $\Phi_2 = \frac{r^2 - 2z^2}{2}$ Alternatively, ions can be trapped and excited by an electric stimulus, which leads to a mass dependent coherent oscillation of the trapped ions [3,4,5,6]. This ion oscillation can then be detected $A_i =$ field order coefficient by dedicated electrodes and Fourier transformed to a mass spectrum, similarly to techniques used in $\Omega = \text{trap RF Frequency}$ FT-ICR or Orbitraps U = trap DC VoltageWe have used numerical simulations of ion dynamics in an idealized QIT to investigate the $8z^4 - 24z^2r^2 + 3z^4$ $V = \text{trap RF Voltage } (U_{rf})$ characteristics of different detection modes in terms of sensitivity to space charge effects, to estimate $\Phi_A = \frac{\sigma_z}{m}$ feasible operation boundaries for instruments based on the individual detection principles. t = time**Space Charge Induced Peak Fusion**

## $Xe^+$ Isotopes, $f_t = 500 \text{ kHz}$

Two Xe Isotopes (m/z = 131, 132 Th, 21 % and 27 % relative abundance) were simulated with all three ejection methods. The total number of simulated particles was 2000 in all cases. The charge weight in the space charge simulation is varied to generate higher charge densities.

### Fourier Transform Detection:

no gas collisions, 5 μs, 8.0 V excitation pulse, V<sub>rf</sub> 200 V, q<sub>z</sub> approx. 0.6



### *top / top-right:*

Secular frequency spectra with different space charge weights. The signal of <sup>131</sup>Xe disappears nearly quantitatively with a charge equivalent of 80k charges in the trap. With higher charge densities, the peak fusion intensifies further.

Averaged positions of the individual ion ensembles on the z-axis (the primary mirror charge detection axis): Severe space charge interaction is visible with an equivalent of 80k elementary charges, while total oscillation synchronization occurs at 160k elementary charges equivalent.



### **Resonant Ejection Detection:** auxiliary RF: 166.66kHz (1/3 of main RF), 1.5 V ----- ch. eqiv. = 2k 0.05 Pa He ----- ch. eqiv. = 20k Background Gas ----- ch. eqiv. = 40k— ch. eqiv. = 160k 250 252 260 262 254 256 258 264

top:

weights.

Resonant ejection spectra for two gas pressures and different space charge weights. In contrast to FTdetection, the mass signals are partly resolved even with a charge equivalent of 160k charges in the trap.

### Non Resonant Ejection (instability at q=0.908) Detection:



visible in the signal of <sup>132</sup>Xe with high space charge





1160

1170

0.06 - no space charge

 $\frac{1}{2}$  0.04 - ch. eqiv. = 30k

\_\_\_\_\_ ch. eqiv. = 3k

0.1 Pa He Background Gas

1180

1190

1200

 $U_{rf}(V)$ 

1210

1160 1170 top / top-right:

Non resonant ejection spectra for different space

charge weights without background gas collisions. Note that the decrease of charge density in the trap is clearly visible in the signal of <sup>132</sup>Xe.

 $U_{rf}(V)$ 

Non resonant ejection spectra at 0.1 Pa He in the background, the mass signals become significantly wider due to the background gas collisions



Ejection directions with higher field order components present. Note the pronounced effect of the octapolar field component.

1.0 1.5 top: time (ms) Exemplary resonant ejection spectra in correspondence to changing field components

- 1% hexap.

- 1% hexa., 0.1% octap.

2500 -

, 1500 -

# 1000 -



### <sup>1</sup>: Institute for Pure and Applied Mass Spectrometry Wuppertal, Germany

### <sup>2</sup>: Bruker, Bremen, Germany

## ourier-Transform-Scan trapping R \_ion excitation / nirror charge detection FFT

Alternatively, a mass spectrum can be acquired without ejecting ions from the trap[5,6] Trapped ions are coherently excited by a signal applied to the cap electrodes. The oscillation frequency of the excited ions is mass dependent and can be measured by detecting the mirror charge of the ions on the cap-electrodes.



## Conclusions

- The direct comparison between resonant and nonresonant ejection and FT-detection shows:
- Total peak fusion with synchronized oscillation of the individual ion species for the FT mode
- Ejection scan modes are less sensitive to total peak fusion compared to FT detection
- Particularly resonant ejection techniques are very robust against space charge induced peak fusion in comparison to the other detection modes
- Space charge effects vanish with decreasing charge density in the trap during an ejection scan
- The variation of the auxiliary RF phase relative to the main trap RF reveal interesting consequences of the phase difference:
- Peak widening and the formation of double peaks
- Asymmetric ejection behavior towards one cap electrode
- Consideration of hexa- and octapolar field components also show interesting effects on the trapped ions and the operation modes:
- Shifts of the simulated mass signals in FT and resonant ejection modes
- Significant change of the ion stability in resonant ejection mode

## Literature

- 1. March, R.E.: An Introduction to Quadrupole Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. J. Mass Spectrom. 32, 351–369 (1997).
- 2. Schwartz, J.C., Senko, M.W., Syka, J.E.P.: A two-dimensional quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 13, 659–669 (2002).
- 3. Parks, J.H., Pollack, S., Hill, W.: Cluster experiments in radio frequency Paul traps: Collisional relaxation and dissociation. J. Chem. Phys. 101, 6666–6685 (1994).
- 4. Soni, M., Frankevich, V., Nappi, M., Santini, R.E., Amy, J.W., Cooks, R.G.: Broad-Band Fourier Transform Quadrupole Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. Anal. Chem. 68, 3314–3320 (1996).
- 5. Aliman, M., Glasmachers, A.: A novel electric ion resonance cell design with high signal-to-noise ratio and low distortion for Fourier transform mass spectrometry. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 10, 1000–1007 (1999).
- 6. Zerega, Y., Perrier, P., Carette, M.: Performance enhancement of a Fourier transform ion trap mass spectrometer using indirect ion-motion frequency measurement. Rein, K. D. 14, 323–328 (2003).
- <sup>7</sup>. Wißdorf, W., Polaczek, C., Brachthäuser, Y., Kersten, H., Brockhaus, A., Aliman, M., Derpmann, V., Laue, A.: Ion dynamics simulation: Space charge effects in a fourier transform 3D-ion trap (FT-ion trap). In: Proceedings of the 65h ASMS Conference on Mass Spectrometry and Allied Topics (2017).
- 8. Eades, D.M., Johnson, J. V, Yost, R.A.: Resonance in a quadrupole ion trap. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 4, 917–929 (1993).

## Acknowledgement

Financial support by **iGenTraX UG (Haan, Germany)** is gratefully acknowledged.